



1996 1 Mail

RECEIVED
JUL 9 2001

BOX AF

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER		DOCKET NUMBER: P-SK 4376	
TECH CENTER 1600/2900			
SERIAL NO: 08/882,950	FILING DATE: June 26, 1997	EXAMINER: S. Hootteman	GROUP ART UNIT: 1656
INVENTION: RANDOM CHEMISTRY FOR THE GENERATION OF NEW COMPOUNDS			

TO COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on July 3, 2001.

By: David A. Gay, Reg. No. 39,200

July 3, 2001
Date of Signature

Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Office Action mailed January 3, 2001, in the above-identified application.

- Small Entity status of this application has been established under 37 CFR 1.27 by a verified statement previously submitted.
- Petition for Extension of Time is enclosed (in duplicate).
- Notice of Appeal (in duplicate).
- No additional claims fee is required.
- An additional claims fee is required and has been calculated as shown below:

An additional claims fee is required and has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	NUMBER AFTER AMEND- MENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENTED	RATE		FEE	
				SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY
TOTAL CLAIMS	61	-	61	-	0	x \$9	\$18
INDEPEN- DENT CLAIMS	8	-	8	-	0	x \$40	\$80
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM		YES	XX NO			\$135	\$270
						TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE	\$0.00

- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 3, write "3" in this space.
- If the difference between the "NUMBER AFTER AMENDMENT" and the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 0, write "0" in the space.

— Please charge my Deposit Account No. 03-0370 the amount of \$. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.



#27/32
7-10-01

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES		Attorney's Docket No. P-SK 4376
In Re Application of Kauffman and Rebek		
Serial Number 08/882,950	Filed June 26, 1997	
For RANDOM CHEMISTRY FOR THE GENERATION OF NEW COMPOUNDS		
Group Art Unit 1656	Examiner S. Houtteman	

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Appeals from the decision dated January 3, 2001.

1. X Appeal fee (37 C.F.R. 1.17(b))
____ other than small entity \$310.00
X small entity \$155.00

____ verified statement attached
X verified statement previously filed in parent application U.S. Serial No. 08/537,736, on January 25, 1996 and such status is still proper.

2. X A petition and fee for an extension of time for reply to the rejection is attached.

Extension fee (37 C.F.R. 1.17(a) - (c))
____ other than small entity
____ \$110.00 ____ \$390.00 ____ \$890.00 ____ \$1,390.00

X small entity
____ verified statement attached
X verified statement previously filed in parent application U.S. Serial No. 08/537,736, on January 25, 1996 and such status is still proper

____ \$ 55.00 ____ \$195.00 X \$445.00 ____ \$695.00

3. X A check is attached for the sum of \$600.00. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees required to file a Notice of Appeal to Deposit Account No. 03-0370. A duplicate copy is enclosed.

4. Please charge the fee(s) to Deposit Account No. 03-0370. A duplicate copy is enclosed.

July 3, 2001
Date

David A. Gay
David A. Gay
Registration No.: 39.200

Attorney or Agent for Applicant
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP
4370 La Jolla Village Drive
7th Floor
San Diego, California 92122
858-535-9001
USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 23601

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on July 3, 2001.

By David A. Gay
David A. Gay, Req. No. 39,200

July 3, 2001
Date of Signature

Inventors: Kauffman and Rebek
Serial No.: 08/882,950
Filed: June 26, 1997
Page 2

A check in the amount of \$600.00 is enclosed, \$445.00 of which covers the fee for a three-month extension of time and \$155.00 which covers the Notice of Appeal fee.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-0370. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.

Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 03-0370 any fees under 37 CFR 1.17 which may be required under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(3) for an extension of time in any concurrent or future reply requiring a petition for extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Gay
Registration No. 39,200
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP
4370 La Jolla Village Drive
7th Floor
San Diego, California 92122
858-535-9001
USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 23601



#261(NG)
7-10-01
52

PATENT
Our Docket: P-SK 4376

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 1656

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)
Kauffman and Rebek)
Serial No: 08/882,950) Group Art Unit: 1656
Filed: June 26, 1997) Examiner: S. Houtteman
For: RANDOM CHEMISTRY FOR THE)
GENERATION OF NEW)
COMPOUNDS)
Commissioner for Patents)
Washington, D.C. 20231)
Attention: Box AF)
I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Commissioner for
Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on
July 3, 2001.
By: *D.A.G.*
David A. Gay, Reg. No. 39,200
July 3, 2001
Date of Signature

*D.K.
to enter
Ann K.
chat/valab.
9/13/01*

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Responsive to the Office Action mailed January 3, 2001,
consideration of the following Remarks is respectfully requested.

REMARKS

Claims 1-50, as amended by the Preliminary Amendment
filed March 18, 1998, are pending.

Claims 1-50 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first
paragraph, as allegedly lacking enablement. The Office Action
asserts that the "sort of generic, broad guidance" exemplified at
page 9, lines 19-20 of the specification provides little
practical guidance, allegedly because the reactants in the